

The Power of Think Tanks: Shaping U.S. Economic and Foreign Policy: Personal opinion, review and thoughts

Mahdi Niafar

Bachelors of Finance, Department of Money and Banking, Poznan University of Economics and Business
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9695-1833>

Received: 01 Mar 2025; Received in revised form: 29 Mar 2025; Accepted: 06 Apr 2025
©2025 The Author(s). Published by TheShillonga. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)



Abstract— *In the era of excessive information, while some may argue that America's economy and public policy are primarily shaped by media or corporate interests, think tanks stand out as penetrating and forming forces. Although their name may sound foreign, these research institutes are the main drum intelligentsia, giving the government and private businesses insight, analysis, and suggestions that influence policies and decisions. Moreover, from social issues to economic policy and welfare strategies, in the above order, the work of think tanks is not simply academic, it is pragmatic. In this review, the anatomy of think tanks including their missions, methods, and myriads of webs of influence and power will be explained in this research.*

Keywords— *Think Tanks, Public Policy, American Society, International Monetary System*

An Overview of Think Tanks: Their Definition and Functions

As mentioned, Think Tanks play a pivotal role in shaping the landscape of American public policy and the economy; therefore, it is necessary to reflect on American policy and economy in the context of publicly available information. In this regard, the first thing that needs to be considered is what Think Tanks really are. "Think-Tank" refers to a research organization or institution that analyzes various tasks and creates ideas and strategies around them, including economic policies or social justice. These entities can be non-profit organizations, academic institutions, or even government-affiliated groups, and experts from various disciplinary backgrounds are often invited to engage in research and policy analysis by these entities.¹

The intention of a Think Tank is primarily to impact the public discourse and decisions that are made by carrying out research and advocacy activities on a particular issue. They address multifaceted problems by analyzing the

policies that are made not only with respect to the people but also with regard to the general system and society. By conducting thorough research, think tanks aim to address urgent matters through the effective application of primary data and information.

The impact and intermediation of think tanks in America are pronounced as they connect academic research with practical public policymaking. They frequently interact with legislators, government representatives, and civic heads, extending their assistance and analyses in order to enable legislation. Think tanks promote informed discourse and provide reasoned suggestions; and in the process, goes beyond shaping policies that govern the social and economic order or highly contributing to the functioning of a democracy, by ensuring that multifarious interests are taken in the construction of public policy².

A Brief History of Think Tanks in America

In terms of their political and economic functions, Think Tanks have integrated into United States society, while their roots stem from the early 20th century. As a response

¹ Dehnavi, E. A., & Fiedler, R. (2024a). Adventures of Two Captains' Trilogy and U.S Exceptionalism in their Foreign Policy: Manifestation of Think Tanks in a literary work. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, 9(3), 277–281. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.93.35>

² Dehnavi, E. A., Niafar, M. M., & Ahmadzada, K. (2024). An Overview Regarding the U.S Domestic Economic Strategies: Role of Think Tanks. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, 6(5), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.12>

to organized interdisciplinary collaboration, especially during the Great Depression and the aftermath of World War II, the term ‘think tank’ was coined to describe these institutions that sought to assist national issues by providing analyses and solutions.

According to many scholars, the Brookings Institution is the first modern think tank in the United States. It was established in 1916 and was primarily focused on economics and government, which served as the model for the multitude of Brookings-style think tanks that took root during the post-war period. These additional institutions, such as Rand Corporation in 1948 which concentrated on defense and foreign policy as well as the Heritage Foundation which became prominent in 1973 for its conservative policy analysis, cut across various disciplines.

In addition, throughout the late 20th century, there became a relative equilibrium between the policy and governing structures which enabled the growth of public butter production. The appearance of radical partisanship on both the left and right was evident within these types of American Center for Progress or Cato Institute. This facilitated think tanks to influence political debates, serving as a framework of primary ideas that would come to be embraced by congressional representatives and state leaders later on³.

In the 21st century, think tanks have kept pace with advances in technology and media. Furthermore, their use of digital platforms enables them to share research, interact, and influence discussions on policy matters with greater ease. These institutions are also more easily accessible because of the rise of data-based analysis and social media, which have increased their reach.

As we deal with the nuances of contemporary governance and economic policies, it is crucial to appreciate the evolution of think tanks in America. These institutions stand at the interface of social and scientific knowledge, and as such, in the social and economic democracy, their existence is reflective of political history and at the same time makes history⁴.

Think Tanks and Their Impact on Economic Policy in the USA

³ Dehnavi, E. A. (2024b). The Trump Doctrine: Redefining U.S. Foreign Policy through Immigration, Security, and Diplomacy. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, 6(5), 26–28. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.5>

⁴ Dehnavi, E. A., & Daheshiar, H. (2020). Changes and indicators of Trump's new immigration policy plan. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362014916_Changes_and_indicators_of_trump's_new_immigration_policy_plan

In the United States, think tanks have a critical responsibility in solving the multifaceted problems facing the country's economy.⁵ They comprise a blend of scholars, researchers, and policymakers who make vast contributions to the analysis and synthesis of economic data towards solving pertinent public issues.⁶ America's policy and debate centers employ a wide range of leaders and social thinkers knowledgeable on numerous subjects to provide comments and information that are useful for decision-making⁷.

Their influence stems from their capacity to simplistically translate complex economic theories into tangible recommendations for policymakers.⁸ With well-documented policy briefs, research reports, and white papers, think tanks provide legislators the means to deal with challenging economic issues such as taxation, trade, labor markets, social welfare, and many others.⁹ Their work not only identifies challenges but also offers practical solutions that can bring about sustainable development across various sectors (i.e., foreign policy and relations of the U.S. with other nations such as Iran).¹⁰

In addition to the above, think tanks often act within the market for policy ideas as facilitators among different audiences such as economists, business executives, and government officials. These forums provide a platform for collaboration and consensus-building, enabling innovative thinking to expand. It is through engaging with an array of voices that think tanks ensure that economic policies are crafted from evidence, and reflect the needs of the society¹¹.

On the political side, the proliferation of supportive ideological groups referred to as think tanks has

⁵ Routledge Handbook of Comparative Policy Analysis. (2017). In *Routledge eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315660561>

⁶ Routledge Handbook of Comparative Policy Analysis. (2017). In *Routledge eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315660561>

⁷ Dehnavi, E. A., & Jamal, M. A. (2020b). From containment to Americanism. ResearchGate. 18765 poiuyytrkhttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/381002365_From_Containment_to_Americanism

⁸ Leeson, P. T., Ryan, M. E., & Williamson, C. R. (2011). Think tanks. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), 62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2011.07.004>

⁹ Leeson, P. T., Ryan, M. E., & Williamson, C. R. (2011). Think tanks. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), 62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2011.07.004>

¹⁰ Dehnavi, E. A. (2020b). Sorry, how can I get to Washington? ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381096520_Sorry_how_can_I_get_to_Washington

¹¹ Leeson, P. T., Ryan, M. E., & Williamson, C. R. (2011). Think tanks. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), 62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2011.07.004>

complicated matters further. These divide the public into different groups and can narrate the economy in various fashions which can make opposing policies more popular than others. While defending their ideological positions, the think tanks become political entrepreneurs within the politics where they very actively influence the preferences of a constituency and the policies that an economy ought to pursue¹².

Based on researchers, it is evident that think tank institutions appear to have a rudimentary cultural influence that is much broader than direct shifts in policy. This phenomenon has been noted because of their chronic promotion of pro-market concepts and normalization of policies that exclusively favor capital over labor. Moreover, They Create propaganda that classifies welfare as wasteful and government involvement as detrimental. This self-sustaining process leads to an Overton window shift in which extreme policies like austerity and privatization become prevalent and are viewed as a matter of fact. The deception lies in framing these shifts as natural public agreement rather than outcomes of well-funded maintained advocacy.

However, to understand the functions of think tanks that employ indirect cultural influence over policy, or why they support pro-market ideology, they incentive structure as a whole has to be analyzed instead of single actions. Think Tanks exist in a context where their credibility and existence are externally determined by their adherence to powerful prevailing economic forces. This is a consequence of a system that rewards disproportionately institutions for advancing policies of privatization and austerity which gets framed as "economic rationality" while other policies such as welfare state expansion or active state intervention are sidelined.

It is not so much a question of why think tanks promote market-centered policies, but rather, why equate welfare with deterioration of the system. Such an inclination is formed from a political economy where there is a blend of concentration of capital and market-driven forces, which are assigned the uppermost importance. Even in ostensibly "free markets," monopolistic tendencies and regulatory capture enable powerful economic actors to steer discourse. For think tanks that depend on funding and institutional relevance, there is an inevitable drift toward agendas which appeal to the capitalist class and corporate policy makers that engage in policy obstructionism.

The inconsistency abounds in the dialogue about the free market concerning its theory and practicality. If there existed a genuine competition, it would, in theory, reduce monopolies and focus the attention of the firms to the public good. In reality, however, markets mean profit and in uncontrolled markets, economic power gets systematically consolidated engendering a vicious circle of economically powerful donors and corporate sponsored politicians who pay for the services of policy-centered academic institutions to justify policies which emerge out of the market economy ideology. This loop does not emerge arbitrarily but is set in motion by fundamental economic motivators.

Critically, however, the fault lies less with think tanks or individual actors than with structural governance failures. State facilitates for this situation by posting no bounds or lacking control that allows the flow of funds to the think tanks to remain undisclosed and institutionalizing policies that are economically defined at the merest expense of social good. There are no controls or ethical boundaries of relevance that assure that the existence of market pro ideas is not because they provide answers which are unquestionably reasonable, but because there is stark alignment with the dominating economic order.

In other words, the passive malice predicts why the architecture of the system is designed in such a way to allow the proliferation of ideas supporting the elite class by policy-centered academic consultants. Until the governing structures come to grip the inequities built within their frameworks, the achievement of looking after economic policies which are beneficial to the general population rather than the market will be prolonged.

In conclusion, American economic policymaking is particularly fragmented, and so think tanks are uniquely important. They can spend the nation's resources because they transform debates into decisions, and thus, resolve issues pertaining to the economy. The figure above illustrates the scope of their impact, demonstrating the extent to which these institutions can use and distort data to form policies where their input is needed to build an emerging economy¹³.

Key Think Tanks Influencing American Public Policy

As part of the multifaceted American public policy landscape, a number of think tanks have emerged to dominate economic and policy debates. These organizations conduct important research and advocacy,

¹² Leeson, P. T., Ryan, M. E., & Williamson, C. R. (2011). Think tanks. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), 62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2011.07.004>

The figure above illustrates the scope of their impact, ¹³ demonstrating the extent to which these institutions can use and distort data to form policies where their input is needed to build an emerging economy

with the capacity to influence public opinion and provide information to legislators and journalists. Following is an illustrative list of some of the key think tanks that influence public debate and policy formulation across different issue areas.

1. The Brookings Institution

In 1916, the Brookings Institution was established as a prominent college within the United States. Being a college, the institution had several scholars working within its confines. Even today, Brookings has some of the most educated and respected scholars working for its many branches. These scholars cover a broad array of subjects including, economic policy and policies defining the relations of the United States with other countries, all of which are supported by data. Brookings has a vested interest in the policy-making processes of the government, especially concerning spending congressional funds and capital investments to develop cities. In other words, it is particularly influential in shaping fiscal policy and urban development strategies, often providing policymakers with the evidence needed to support or oppose legislation.

2. The Heritage Foundation

Founded in 1973, the Heritage Foundation advocates free markets and limited government as a leading conservative think tank. It has historically greatly influenced the Republican Party's policy priorities including taxation, healthcare, and national defense. Its policy briefs and publications are often the basis for legislative proposals and are frequently disseminated throughout the United States.

3. The Center for American Progress (CAP)

Founded in 2003, the Center for American Progress is a liberal counterpart to the Heritage Foundation. CAP specializes in creating policy proposals designed to target economic inequality, climate change, and social justice to build a more equitable society. Its research aims to not only inform legislators but also energize grassroots movements around important issues, CAP is well known for proposing progressive solutions to problems facing America today.

4. The Cato Institute

The organization's primary goal is to promote policies and public opinion consistent with the libertarian worldview. Founded in 1974, Cato's scope includes drug policy reform, civil liberties, and laissez-faire capitalism among other public policies. The Institute's analyses and events are often at odds with orthodoxy and frequently call for action that rests on the acceptance of self-governance and microstate control. Cato enables the public to formulate

policies that are beneficial to the individual without government control.

5. The Urban Institute

The Urban Institute was established in 1968 and focuses on social and economic constituents of the city, housing variances, and health issues. They tackle profound social issues using a very organized strategy oriented around facts and statistics. Paradoxically, it helps the authorities grasp the consequences of their actions for different population groups. Its reports are often used to advocate for evidence-based reforms at local, state, and federal levels.

These think tanks, just like several other Think Tanks, contribute to the fabric of American public policy, offering their unique insights and skills. Moreover, policymakers who work with these organizations are equipped with information that helps them make decisions to drive the economic policy of the country, As the relationship between think tanks and the government evolves, their role in facilitating dialogue and steering policy will still be critical in managing the intersection of American politics.

5 . How Think Tanks Conduct Research and Analysis

Think tanks conduct research and analysis to influence the American economy and policymaking. These organizations often consist of professionals from different disciplines and apply a multidisciplinary approach to deal with challenging problems so that they can generate efficient solutions.

However, it should be mentioned that information gathering is one of their first steps. Think tanks collect information from a wide variety of sources such as government documents, academic publications, and even grassroots research in order to gain a holistic grasp of the issues at hand. Each item of data is carefully evaluated through quantitative methods, such as statistical modeling, and qualitative techniques, including interviews and case studies. Unidimensional data often leads to unidimensional conclusions, which is why most think tanks cross-reference data from numerous sources and processes .

As often happens in academic disciplines, research findings, and data are presented in a variety of formats. In this case, policy briefs, white papers, and reports become the preferred presentation of findings and conclusions, as they succinctly outline reasoning, conclusions, and recommendations. The information provided in the documents is not just a presentation of facts; rather, it is an analysis of what the data means in the context of policymakers, business institutions, and society at large. Changes need to be made, and decision-makers need the proper information to enact beneficial policies.

Moreover, the think tanks actively participate in public discussion using seminars, conferences, and even the media. They circulate their research among journalists, policymakers, and citizens and in this way, foster dialogue on issues of public concern while ensuring that the important decision-makers are aware of their findings. This not only enhances the visibility of their work but makes them reputable experts in the domain.

In a time when there is an array of information circulating, and yet very little of it is crystal clear, think tanks are doing invaluable. The relentless and exhaustive research done, along with the well-thought-out analysis provided guides what strategies need to be adopted economically and what public policies need to be put in place to determine the direction America takes in the future.

6. The Process of Policy Advocacy: From Research to Implementation

The process of advocacy policy is in itself a journey requiring the advocacy of much more than unique and strategic enactment. This merging of two areas, the public policy and the academic world, is facilitated by the so-called 'think tanks' that sit at the heart of this policy advocacy world. This process begins with deep and sophisticated research by experts on critical issues such as healthcare and educational reforms and development in economics. Furthermore, Think Tanks attempts to analyze and capture interrelations that can be very important politically, such as the ones between Iran and the United States after the emergence and establishment of the Islamic Republic, using both quantitative and qualitative methods in data collection and analysis¹⁴.

After the analysis and research stage, the next step is to plan, implement, and communicate the dismantling of the findings along with their visuals into tangible pieces of evidence acknowledged by scientific set policies think tanks. After conducting elementary research, think tanks are supposed to draft advanced policy briefs, white papers, or reports summarizing their findings using simple words available to everyone in society. Moreover, their goal is to capture the attention of the policymakers to the areas that need urgent action to be composed by using strong narratives and vivid illustrations from the USA and around the world.

In the advocacy phase, Think Tanks start to mobilize their resources. They interact with lawmakers by presenting their research findings and recommendations through

meetings, testimonies, and public forums. This phase is particularly important for building coalitions with other organizations and stakeholders because collective voices often have more influence on legislation. Think tanks utilize their contacts to generate support and create public and political support for their initiatives.

When a policy has been recommended, the policy then is put into action in the implementation phase. This is the phase where the proposal is practiced. During this phase, the think tanks still participate in the dialogue; they track the progression of the policy and provide analytical support throughout the enactment process. The implementation involves assessing the effectiveness of the policy, which enables changes to be made to advance the policy, therefore improving it ceaselessly.

At its core, the procedure of policy advocacy integrates research, communication, coalition-building, and evaluation. In this way, think tanks not only bolster informed decision-making essential to healthy democracies but also actively participate in the selection of policies that govern the country. However, while operating in this sphere, their work aids in the advancement of public policy in America, which is illustrative of the great power well-informed advocacy wields; these implementations can be witnessed in the general behavior of different U.S. presidents or the foreign policy of this country¹⁵.

Case Studies: Successful Think Tank Initiatives

Think tank case studies provide a very clear view of how these organizations affect America's economic policy and strategy formulation. One striking example is urban policy at the Brookings Institution. Brookings does not only provide housing research; their work has aided cities grappling with transportation, economic expansion, and affordable housing. Their "Metro Policy Program" has not only shaped local governance but also sparked national conversations concerning revitalization.

The argumentation for free-market policies at the Cato Institute is also another. Their work on regulatory changes has significantly impacted the discussion of taxes, education, and health care. Cato has successfully changed the attitude of the public and politicians towards the use of data-driven arguments and practical alternatives. This is how, in one example, public discourse can be altered by think tanks.

¹⁴ Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). An Analytical Examination of Iran-USA Relations Post-Islamic Revolution: Extended Scientific Review. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, 6(5), 23–25. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.4>

¹⁵ Dehnavi, E. A., & Daheshiar, H. (2020). Changes and indicators of Trump's new immigration policy plan. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362014916_Changes_and_indicators_of_trump's_new_immigration_policy_plan

Additionally, numerous countries are ranked according to the amount of free market policies they have put in place. This is something the Heritage Foundation does through its "Index of Economic Freedom." Economists use the index to discuss economic policies and their correlation with prosperity in the world, which allows political leaders to shift resources toward innovation.

These cases illustrate the variety of ways in which change can be catalyzed by think tanks. Through research, dialogue, and interaction with policymakers, these organizations do not merely add American public policy but actively work towards reconstructing the economy of the country. As we deal with the intricate blend of ideas and politics, the importance of think tanks in America is ever so critical; some of these case studies also dial in the reasons why America has fallen in or why countries like China have risen in international politics¹⁶.

The Relationship Between Think Tanks and Government

As it can be comprehended, the collaboration between think tanks and government agencies is multifaceted and ever-changing which profoundly affects the development of public policy and economic issues in the United States. Think tanks are important to middle agents who specialize in integrating academic work and government practice. They provide needed healthcare and environmental solutions underpinning these complex challenges with practical knowledge and as such, assist in offering genuine approaches and innovative approaches to recognized policymaking challenges.

In many cases, think tanks work in close consultation with government departments providing them with valuable guidance concerning various issues. Because of this interdependence, politicians have the opportunity to make use of research and analysis which can substantiate their actions and policies. For instance, during deliberation on important bills, lawmakers often use think tank documents citing the probable economic consequences or social benefits of the activities in question. This intertwining of governance and academic research can result in improved governance, leading to better satisfaction of public needs and values.

Nonetheless, obstacles exist within the relationship. The concern about bias and partisan undertones is prevalent due to the affiliation of think tanks with certain political and corporate interests. These biases lend themselves to

reasonable skepticism towards the so-called "objective" information provided and the reasons behind proposed policies. Hence, both the public and policymakers need to question the origin of information that influences their perception of intricate matters¹⁷.

Furthermore, the emergence of online platforms has drastically changed this relationship by enabling direct communication between the public and think tanks and broadening the reach of their research. New initiatives by think tanks can be profoundly more visible and impactful due to policy-related discussions being fostered and promoted through social media and discussion forums. These tools can enable think tanks to not only direct government decisions but also shape public opinion and stimulate political participation.

To summarize, the interaction between think tanks and the government is complex, but it serves as an important aspect of how the United States transforms its economy and public policy. Think tanks can bring about significant change as they can serve as channels of information, but intense scrutiny surrounding the motives behind their research also needs to be considered. The public needs to remain educated and take part in discussions in order to voice their opinions in the political arena.

The Impact of Think Tanks on Public Opinion

Considered the intermediary between academic research and public critiques, think tanks possess a formidable power in shaping public opinion. With their abundant resources and expertise, these entities are enabled to create narratives surrounding economic and social topics, making intricate issues easier to comprehend for the general populace. Think tanks make research accessible to the media, policymakers, and the public, allowing them to understand the ramifications of proposed policies and economic plans.

Through white papers, reports, and even involving themselves in the media, think tanks focus attention on important subjects, suggesting alternate methods of addressing certain matters aside from the mainstream ones. Their ability to conduct systematic research and give

¹⁶ Dehnavi, E. A. (2020a). The reasons for the decline of American hegemony and its impact on China's regional policies in the Middle East. *Revista San Gregorio*, 1(37).
<https://doi.org/10.36097/rsan.v1i37.1274>

¹⁷ Dehnavi, E. A., & Tabatabaei, S. M. (2021). Principles and positions of US foreign policy against terrorism. ResearchGate.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356423964_Principles_and_positions_of_US_foreign_policy_against_terrorism?_sg%5B0%5D=AdOFEC9XN1vmmIBRs4gyq8rMbj3U5_Y9q9M_E1W4U0xiUgtqm wixPGjFkTmKKc_DE5RqhOuVrLzROI tu_ajuVCBqP3ca--Y3hS9_rIR.-oqxSkcNiSWqEI5UO-IT3Rd7cy9j30YMbOlk_fSFSzedWLOsXGUqQiAPZUx6HgiQhmOC8ckm p3zIUoKkvdX32Q&_tp=eyJb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6ImxvZ2l uliwicGFnZSI6InByb2ZpbGUiLCJwb3NpdGlvbil6InBhZ2VDb250ZW50I n19

rational recommendations makes them credible and helps build their esteem, enabling them to become authoritative figures in the said topic. For example, possessing claims with logical reasoning and deep data analysis that appeals to public values, economic reforms, climate change, and health care becomes easier to address from their side, shifting the view of the general population.

In addition, think tanks work with other arms of the media for the purpose of sharing their research or appearing in interviews, opinion articles, and segments on various issues. Such visibility makes it possible for them to reach and touch a wide range of people, including those who may not be interested in policy matters. The sophisticated use of social media is more useful to them in this regard because it offers the opportunity for direct interaction with the public and subsequent mobilizations of support at the grassroots level for issues that concern the think tanks.

In such a changing context, the function of the think tanks goes beyond just researching as they are now active participant in managing public information and thus, government policy. In doing so, and assisted by the media, they address and discuss emerging issues that are important, as well as influence how the population perceives and reacts to political decisions and policies taken at the government level. While they're navigating around America's society, they affect public opinion which is significant and lasting, and this is true no matter how one looks at it.

Engaging with Think Tanks: How Citizens Can Get Involved

The soar in civic participation in the policy debate poses another research challenge; citizens as active participants can also serve as instrumentalists in engaging in economic and policy formation. If citizens know how to maneuver within the systems, they are able to use without any restrictions, their views and knowledge.

For instance, a good way to begin is to take part in public sessions organized by different think tanks, forums, and discussions. There are always top-level individuals in the form of researchers who give the public some of 'their' issues to talk and research on. Participating in these events gives you that knowledge but the opportunity to ask questions and be involved allows you to voice your opinions.

The "lower barrier" way of getting into a think tank is through volunteering or interning for them. Many people have been able to volunteer with many organizations or even inter-universities interested in learning and building on policy issues. Such participation can be in the form of assisting to do research or organizing activities and gets

one closer to the processes of how policies are formulated and advocated for.

Therefore, consider using social media to engage with think tanks. Following their accounts, tweeting out their research, and commenting on their work are all great ways to start engaging. Many, if not most, think tanks provide opportunities for citizen participation through online comments, discussions, surveys, or even on papers published policies. Your contribution can shift the center of gravity toward their priorities and community needs that, at times, remain hidden.

In the end, consider grassroots efforts. Getting involved, or starting, local advocacy groups in harmony with the goals of a think tank can multiply your impact. Working with other citizens permits you to deal with community problems impact national policies and show decision-makers that community issues are important.

In essence, think tanks are an opportunity for every single citizen to participate actively in molding the economic and public policy system. The more a person engages, the better the understanding of the mechanisms at play and the more one can engage in constructive actions to address the societal challenges we have.

Conclusion: The Importance of Think Tanks in a Democratic Society

In conclusion, think tanks play a crucial role in shaping the landscape of democracy and public policy in America. These institutions act as vital engines of intellectual discourse, promoting informed debate and providing policymakers with well-researched insights that can guide effective decision-making. In a democratic society, where diverse opinions and perspectives are essential, think tanks serve as a platform for dialogue and innovation, bridging the gap between academia, government, and the public by also translating and softening the complicated notions that are hard to comprehend.¹⁸

These organizations maintain a thorough examination and argue that policy measures must be developed for the most acute problems of our country, for example, economic inequality and climate change. They create an appropriate atmosphere for lawmakers to do their work, where real solutions can work and voters' wishes and values are met. Also, some think tanks seek to give back to the community

¹⁸ Fiedler, R. A., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). The Styles in the American Politics Volume II, Conservative Think Tanks and their Foreign Policy: A Booklet. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379449531_The_Styles_in_the_American_Politics_Volume_II_Conservative_Think_Tanks_and_Their_Foreign_Policy_A_Booklet/references

by understanding complex issues so as to increase civic education and participation, which includes teaching the public about complicated socio-political engagements.¹⁹

What might be underestimated, in this case, is the place and role of think tanks. They are more than just information storages; they actively participate in daily political life by arguing in the name of open democracy that demands ultimate responsibility and an accountable authority. Here, think tanks provide multilateral solutions and stand up for all citizens, making sure that all employed policies truly reflect democracy in deepest meaning. In this way, they uphold the principles of democracy and contribute to the ongoing pursuit of a more just and equitable society.²⁰

REFERENCES

- [1] Ellias Aghili Dehnavi et al (2024), Policy Recommendations by U.S. Think Tanks: Sanctions vs. Diplomacy An Educational analysis of differing strategies proposed by leading think tanks on Iran, Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30(11) 896-901, Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i11.8886
- [2] Dehnavi, E. A., & Fiedler, R. (2024a). Adventures of Two Captains' Trilogy and U.S Exceptionalism in their Foreign Policy: Manifestation of Think Tanks in a literary work. International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 9(3), 277–281. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.93.35>
- [3] Dehnavi, E. A., Niafar, M. M., & Ahmadzada, K. (2024). An Overview Regarding the U.S Domestic Economic Strategies: Role of Think Tanks. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 6(5), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.12>
- [4] Dehnavi, E. A. (2024b). The Trump Doctrine: Redefining U.S. Foreign Policy through Immigration, Security, and Diplomacy. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 6(5), 26–28. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.5>
- [5] Routledge Handbook of Comparative Policy Analysis. (2017). In Routledge eBooks.
a. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315660561>
- [6] Leeson, P. T., Ryan, M. E., & Williamson, C. R. (2011). Think tanks. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), 62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2011.07.004>
- [7] Dehnavi, E. A., & Daheshiar, H. (2020). Changes and indicators of Trump's new immigration policy plan. ResearchGate.
- [8] Dehnavi, E. A., & Jamal, M. A. (2020b). From containment to Americanism. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381002365_From_Containment_to_Americanism
- [9] Dehnavi, E. A. (2020b). Sorry, how can I get to Washington? ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381096520_Sorry_how_can_I_get_to_Washington
- [10] Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). An Analytical Examination of Iran-USA Relations Post-Islamic Revolution: Extended Scientific review. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 6(5), 23–25. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.5.4>
- [11] Dehnavi, E. A., & Daheshiar, H. (2020). Changes and indicators of Trump's new immigration policy plan. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362014916_Changes_and_indicators_of_trump's_new_immigration_policy_plan
- [12] Dehnavi, E. A. (2020a). The reasons for the decline of American hegemony and its impact on China's regional policies in the Middle East. Revista San Gregorio, 1(37). <https://doi.org/10.36097/rsan.v1i37.1274>
- [13] Dehnavi, E. A., & Tabatabaei, S. M. (2021). Principles and positions of US foreign policy against terrorism. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356423964_Principles_and_positions_of_US_foreign_policy_against_terrorism?sg%5B0%5D=AdOFEC9XN1vmmIBRsz4gyq8rMbJ3U5_Y9q9M_E1W4U0xiUgtqmwxPGjFkTmKKc_DE5RqhO_uVrLzROITu_ajuVCBqP3ca--Y3hS9_rIR.-ogxSkcNiSWqEI5UO-IT3Rd7cy9j30YMbOlk_fSFSzedWL0SxGUqQiAPZUx6Hg_iQhmOC8ckmp3zlUoKkvdx32Q&tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7IjZpcnN0UGFnZSI6ImxvZ2luIiwicGFnZSI6InByb2ZpbGUiLCJwb3NpdGlvbil6InBhZ2VDb250ZW50In19
- [14] Fiedler, R. A., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). The Styles in the American Politics Volume II, Conservative Think Tanks and their Foreign Policy: A Booklet. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379449531_The_Styles_in_the_American_Politics_Volume_II_Conservative_Think_Tanks_and_Their_Foreign_Policy_A_Booklet/references
- [15] Fiedler, R., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). Navigating Engagement with Iran: Exploring US Strategies and Options: A Futuristic Scenario and Review. International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 9(2), 109–113. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.92.18>
- [16] Fiedler, R., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024b). Unraveling the Enigmas: Deciphering the Causes of Discord in the Middle East: A review. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 6(1), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.1.7>

¹⁹ Fiedler, R., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024a). Navigating Engagement with Iran: Exploring US Strategies and Options: A Futuristic Scenario and Review. International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 9(2), 109–113. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.92.18>

²⁰ Fiedler, R., & Dehnavi, E. A. (2024b). Unraveling the Enigmas: Deciphering the Causes of Discord in the Middle East: A review. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 6(1), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.6.1.7>